UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/624,858	07/24/2000	Damien Castelain	0054-0216P-SP	6258

7590   12/05/2003

Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP
P O Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER
MEW, KEVIN D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2664	6

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/624,858	CASTELAIN, DAMIEN
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Kevin Mew	2664	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *24 July 2000* .

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) *1-16* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) *1,2,7-10,15 and 16* is/are rejected.

7) ☒ Claim(s) *3-6 and 11-14* is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

    If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

      1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

      3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

    a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) *5* .

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) ☐ Other: .

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)   Office Action Summary   Part of Paper No. 6

Detailed Action

Specification

1.   The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology is

used throughout the abstract. For example, "said method" in lines 2 and 4, "the

present invention concerns" in line 3, "said modulation signals" in line 7, "said

channel" in line 8, "said transmitter" in lines 9, "said receiver" in line 9, "said

OFDM symbols" in line 9, "said transmitted modulation signals" in line 15. In

addition, the phrase "Figure 3" in the last line of the abstract should also be

removed. Furthermore, the abstract has exceeded 150 words in length that

renders it improper according to the explanation below. Correction is required.

See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of
the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single
paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is
important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space
provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The
form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and
"said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure
sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the
full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat
information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied,
such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention,"
"The disclosure describes," etc.

2.   Claims 6-8, 14-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in

improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in

the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not

been further treated on the merits.

Claim Objections

3.   Claims 1-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: the

term "characterised" is not the standard US English terminology used for

specifying what a method or an apparatus is comprised of. The word

"comprising" should be used instead.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4.   Claim 1 recites the limitation "said channel" in page 17, line 7. There is

insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an

application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United
States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5.   Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

anticipated by Yoshikazu et al (EP 0836304 A2).

Regarding claims 1 & 9, Yoshikazu discloses a method for transmitting

data from a DAB transmitter, and a Digital Audio Broadcast (DAB) receiver (see

element 52, Fig. 1) for receiving data from a DAB transmitter (see element 51,

Fig. 1) over a group of carriers (**multiple carriers**, see page 2, lines 40-43), the

said transmitting method comprises of converting digital data into 4-phase-PSK

modulated signals (binary to signal coding of data to be transmitted so as to form

modulation signals, see page 2, lines 20-23), of multiplying the N 4-phase-PSK

modulated signals (**modulation signals**, see page 2, lines 22-23) with sine and

cosine carriers (**sub-carriers**, see page 2, lines 40-43) to form data symbols

(**OFDM symbols**, see page 10, lines 41-43) to be transmitted over a

transmission path (**over said channel between the said transmitter and the**

said receiver, see element 53, Fig. 1), the data symbols are converted by A/D

converter into digital data at a predetermined sampling frequency (**said OFDM**

symbols at a rate which is related to a sampling frequency referred to as

the transmitter sampling frequency, see page 11, lines 25-26), and, on the

DAB receiver side, of a DFT window generator for outputting a DFT window

signal **(clock signal)** serving as a Fourier transformation execution timing of

each symbol (**determining, from a clock signal at a frequency related to a**

sampling frequency referred to as the receiver sampling frequency, an

analysis window for the signal received from the transmitter so as to form a

block of samples, see page 11, lines 26-28), of a Fourier transformer to perform

a Fourier transformation process to the digital data for each symbol to

demodulate original audio data (**estimating the said transmitted modulation**

signals by demodulating the said sub-carriers for said block of samples,

see page 11, lines 28-29), and of a sampling frequency control means

(**estimation step**, see page 11, line 32) for calculating a change amount of

barycentric position on the basis of a deviation between a DFT window position

in a previous frame and a DFT window position in a current frame and controlling

the frequency of the sampling pulse to make the error zero (**estimation step is**

designed to correct the changes in the position of the analysis window with

respect to the said transmitted signal, see page 11, lines 32-36).

Regarding claims 2 & 10, Yoshikazu discloses method of transmitting data

from a DAB transmitter, and a Digital Audio Broadcast (DAB) receiver (see

element 52, Fig. 1) for receiving data from a DAB transmitter, the said

transmission method consists of having a Fourier transformer to perform a

Fourier transformation process to the digital data for each symbol to demodulate

original audio data (**estimation step consists of demodulating the said sub-**

carriers for said block of samples, see page 11, lines 28-29), and of a

sampling frequency control means for controlling the frequency of the sampling

pulse to make the error zero (**the said step of correcting the changes in the**

position of the analysis window, see page 11, lines 32-36) by detecting the

frequency error (**consisting of estimating the phase difference**) of the

sampling pulse on the basis of a deviation between DFT window position in a

previous frame (**symbol**) and a DFT window position in a current frame

(**consisting of estimating the phase difference between two consecutive**

symbols and using this phase difference during said correction of the

effects, see page 11, lines 11-13, and lines 32-36).

Regarding claims 7 & 15, Yoshikazu discloses a method for transmitting

data from a DAB transmitter, and a Digital Audio Broadcast (DAB) receiver (see

element 52, Fig. 1) for receiving data from a DAB transmitter (see element 51,

Fig. 1) over a group of carriers, the said transmission method comprises of an

impulse response arithmetic section for arithmetically operating an impulse

response (**estimating the response of a transmission channel**), of converting

digital data into 4-phase-PSK modulated signals (**binary to signal coding of**

data to be transmitted so as to form modulation signals, see page 2, lines

20-23), of multiplying the N 4-phase-PSK modulated signals (**modulation**

signals, see page 2, lines 22-23) with sine and cosine carriers to form data

symbols (**one or more distributed pilots transmitted at the same time as the**

said transmitted symbols, see page 2, lines 40-43) to be transmitted over a

transmission path, of a sampling frequency control means for controlling the

frequency of the sampling pulse to make the error zero (**said transmission**

channel estimation, see page 11, lines 32-36) by detecting the frequency error

(**phase difference**) of the sampling pulse on the basis of a deviation between

DFT window position in a previous frame (symbol) and a DFT window position in

a current frame (**applying the said phase difference between consecutive**

symbols, see page 11, lines 11-13, and lines 32-36).

Regarding claims 8 & 16, Yoshikazu discloses a method for transmitting

data from a DAB transmitter, and a Digital Audio Broadcast (DAB) receiver (see

element 52, Fig. 1) for receiving data from a DAB transmitter (see element 51,

Fig. 1) over a group of carriers (multiple carriers, see page 2, lines 40-43), which

comprises of converting digital data into 4-phase-PSK modulated signals (**binary**

to signal coding is of differential type, characterized in that it consists of

shifting the phase, see page 2, lines 20-23), of multiplying the N 4-phase-PSK

modulated signals with sine and cosine carriers to form data symbols

(**consecutive OFDM symbols**, see page 10, lines 41-43) to be transmitted over

a transmission path, of a Fourier transformer to perform a Fourier transformation

process to the digital data for each symbol to demodulate original audio data

(**differential demodulation for the carrier of index k of the nth OFDM**

symbol, see page 11, lines 28-29), and of a sampling frequency control means

for controlling the frequency of the sampling pulse to make the error zero by

detecting the frequency error (**phase difference**) of the sampling pulse on the

basis of a deviation between DFT window position in a previous frame and a DFT

window position in a current frame (**by the said phase difference between**

consecutive OFDM symbols, see page 11, lines 33-35).

Allowable Subject Matter

6.   Claims 3-6 and 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable

subject matter:

Regarding claim 3, data transmission method according to claim 2,

characterised in that, for estimating the phase difference between two

consecutive symbols, it consists of estimating the degree of shift of the sampling

frequency of the receiver with respect to that of the transmitter,

$$\delta = \delta f_e / f_e^E = (f_e^R - f_e^E)/f_e^E$$

the said phase difference between two consecutive symbols then being

equal to:

$$\beta_{k,n} = 2\pi k\delta T_s/T_u$$

where T_s is the total length of the symbol under consideration, T_u its useful

part, k being the index of the carrier under consideration and n being the index of

the OFDM symbol under consideration.

Regarding claim 4, data transmission method according to claim 2,

characterised in that, for estimating the phase difference between two

consecutive symbols, it consists of taking into account the shift decision for the

position of the said analysis window delivered by a window repositioning unit, the

said phase difference between two consecutive symbols then being equal to:

$$\beta_{k,n} = 2\pi k\alpha T_s/T_u$$

where T is the duration of a sample and α the shift decision value expressed as a number of samples.

Regarding claim 5, data transmission method according to claim 3, characterised in that, for estimating the phase difference between two consecutive symbols, it consists of taking into account the shift decision for the position of the said analysis window delivered by a window repositioning unit, the said phase difference between two consecutive symbols then being equal to:

$$\beta_{k,n} = 2\pi k(\delta T_s + \alpha T)/T_u$$

where T is the duration of a sample and α the shift decision value expressed as a number of samples.

Regarding claim 6, data transmission method to one of the preceding claims, characterised in that it consists of estimating the response of the channel for one or more reference symbols transmitted at the same time as the said phase difference between consecutive symbols to the said transmission channel estimation by means of the following recursive equation:

$$\tilde{H}_{k.n} = \tilde{H}_{k,n-1}e^{j\beta'_{k,n}}$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_{k.n} = \tilde{H}_{k,n-1}e^{j\beta'_{k,n}}$$ represents the estimation of the channel response for the carrier of index k and for the OFDM symbol of index n, $\beta'_{k,n}$ being the estimation of the phase difference between the consecutive OFDM symbols of respective indices n −1 and n for the carrier of index k.

Regarding claim 11, receiver according to claim 10, characterised in that, for estimating the phase difference between two consecutive symbols, it consists of estimating the degree of shift of the sampling frequency of the receiver with respect to that of the transmitter,

$$\delta = \delta f_e / f_e^E = (f_e^R - f_e^E)/f_e^E$$

the said phase difference between two consecutive symbols then being equal to:

$$\beta_{k,n} = 2\pi k \delta T_s/T_u$$

where T_s is the total length of the symbol under consideration, T_u its useful part, k being the index of the carrier under consideration and n being the index of the OFDM symbol under consideration.

Regarding claim 12, receiver according to claim 10, characterised in that, for estimating the phase difference between two consecutive symbols, it consists of taking into account the shift decision for the position of the said analysis window delivered by a window repositioning unit, the said phase difference between two consecutive symbols then being equal to:

$$\beta_{k,n} = 2\pi k(\alpha T)/T_u$$

where T is the duration of a sample and α the shift decision value expressed as a number of samples.

Regarding claim 13, receiver according to claim 11, characterized in that, for estimating the phase difference between two consecutive symbols, it consists of taking into account the shift decision for the position of the said analysis

window delivered by a window repositioning unit, the said phase difference

between two consecutive symbols then being equal to:

$$\beta_{k,n} = 2\pi k(\delta T_s + \alpha T)/T_u$$

where T is the duration of a sample and α the shift decision value

expressed as a number of samples.

Regarding claim 14, receiver according to one of claims 9 to 13,

characterised in that it is designed to estimate the response of the channel for

one or more reference symbols transmitted, by the said transmitter, at the same

time as the said phase difference between consecutive symbols to the said

transmission channel estimation by means of the following recursive equation:

$$\tilde{H}_{k.n} = \tilde{H}_{k,n-1} e^{j\beta'_{k,n}}$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_{k.n} = \tilde{H}_{k,n-1} e^{j\beta'_{k,n}}$$ represents the estimation of the channel response for the

carrier of index k and for the OFDM symbol of index n, $\beta'_{k,n}$ being the estimation

of the phase difference between the consecutive OFDM symbols of respective

indices n −1 and n for the carrier of index k.

Conclusion

7.   The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure with respect to OFDM:

     US Patent 5,313,169 to Fouche et al.

     US Patent 5,506,836 to Ikeda et al.

     US patent 5,521,943 to Dambacher

     US Patent 5,444,697 to Leung et al.

     US Patent 5,732,113 to Schmidl et al.

8.   Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 703-

305-5300. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 703-305-4798. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703-305-3900.

KDM
Art Unit 2664

RICKY NGO
PRIMARY EXAMINER